SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, APRIL 27, 2011 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S190646 B222025 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 SHARP (CALVIN LEONARD) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review granted; issues limited

The petition for review is granted.

The issue to be briefed and argued is limited to the following: Does Penal Code section 1054.3, subdivision (b), as amended effective January 1, 2010, alter the existing provisions of law regarding court-ordered examinations of criminal defendants in sanity proceedings, specifically Penal Code sections 1026 and 1027?

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J. Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Corrigan, JJ.

S191240 H032866 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. GONZALES (RAMIRO)

Petition for review granted

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Corrigan, JJ.

S191550 B202789/B205034 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 SARGON ENTERPRISES, INC. v. UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Petition for review granted; issues limited

The petition for review is granted.

The issue to be briefed and argued is whether the trial court erred in excluding the proffered expert opinion testimony regarding lost profits.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Corrigan, JJ.

S103087 PEOPLE v. POST (JOHN)

Appeal abated

The "Motion to Abate Appeal," filed by respondent on April 18, 2011, is granted. As indicated in a certified copy of a certificate of death, appellant John Post died on December 20, 2010. All proceedings in *People v. John Post*, case no. S103087, are permanently abated, and the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles is directed to enter an order to that effect in case no. BA142596. (*People v. Dail* (1943) 22 Cal.2d 642, 659; *People v. Bandy* (1963) 216 Cal.App.2d 458, 466.)

S109197

PEOPLE v. VAN PELT (JAMES GLENN)

Appeal abated

The "Motion to Abate Proceedings," filed by respondent on April 14, 2011, is granted. As indicated in a certified copy of a certificate of death, appellant James Glenn Van Pelt died on March 6, 2011. All proceedings in *People v. James Glenn Van Pelt*, case no. S109197, are permanently abated, and the Superior Court of California, County of Riverside is directed to enter an order to that effect in case no. CR63109. (*People v. Dail* (1943) 22 Cal.2d 642, 659; *People v. Bandy* (1963) 216 Cal.App.2d 458, 466.)

S156100 B201254 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 MALKENHORST (BRUCE VAN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Dismissal order filed

Pursuant to petitioner's unopposed request, review in *Malkenhorst v. Los Angeles County Superior Court* (S156100) is dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Corrigan, JJ.

S179122 C059615/C060271 Third Appellate District

NELSON (D.J.) v. EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION

Dismissal order filed

Because the parties have reached a settlement in this case, we grant Exxon Mobil Corporation's request, made with the consent of all parties and filed with this court on April 8, 2011, to dismiss review in the above-entitled matter. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b).)

Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Baxter and Chin, JJ., were recused and did not participate.

Presiding Justice Barbara J.R. Jones, Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Five, was assigned by the Acting Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.

Votes: Werdegar, A. C. J., Kennard, Corrigan, and Jones, JJ.

S188956 D054677 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

GONZALEZ (DEBORAH) v. SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

Transferred to Court of Appeal for the Fourth Appellate District, Division One, after hold The above entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal for the Fourth Appellate District, Division One, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of *Cabral v. Ralphs Grocery Co.* (2011) 51 Cal.4th 764. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Corrigan, JJ.

S187746

LAPTHORNE (ROBERT) ON H.C.

Petition ordered withdrawn

Pursuant to written request of petitioner, the above-entitled petition for writ of habeas corpus is ordered withdrawn.

S190221 B217483 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. CHERNOW

(KENNETH M.)

Petition for review denied

S190349 E049007 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. ARREDONDO

(JOSE FELIX)

Petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Brown*, S181963.

S190403 G042940 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 TROVATO (IRENE) v. BECKMAN COULTER, INC.

Petition for review denied

S190503 B213928 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. MELLON

(ENRICO)

Petition for review denied

S190519 B214134 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. ARMAS (JOSE

MARDOQUEO)

Petition for review denied

S190583 D054980 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. SAIBU (SADIQ)

Petitions for review denied

S190656 H034884 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. HEREDIA

(JEREMIE ABEL)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Brown*, S181963.

S190663 Petition for rev	H036128 Sixth Appellate District view denied	HEREDIA (JEREMIE ABEL) ON H.C.			
S190667 Petition for rev	G042189 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. VERDUZCO (JOSE ANTHONY)			
S190712 Petition for re	B220095 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. GIBBS (DAVID EDWARDS)			
S190714 Petitions for re	B217501 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 eview denied	PEOPLE v. NUNEZ (OMAR)			
S190747 Petition for re	A125780 First Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. PELFREY (ANTHONY JAMES)			
S190760	E048920 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	SANCHEZ (MARIA) v. SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY			
Petition for review denied					
S190762 Petition for re	E052531 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	DAVIS (TYRANE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)			
S190767 Petition for re	H034634 Sixth Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. RUBALCAVA III (ANTONIO BENIGNO)			

ZAMUDIO (OMAR) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

H036288 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S190774

S190802 B218171 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 ARECHIGA (CARLOS) v. DOLORES PRESS, INC.

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S190807 A125780 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. PELFREY (ANTHONY JAMES)

Petition for review denied

S190808 C060804 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. DIXON (TODD ROBERT)

Petition for review denied

S190821 D055649 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. HIGGINS (RAYMOND)

Petition for review denied; CA opinion decertified

The petition for review is denied.

The Reporter of Decisions is directed not to publish in the Official Appellate Reports the opinion in the above entitled appeal filed January 13, 2011, which appears at 191 Cal.App.4th 1075. (Cal. Const., art. VI, section 14; rule 8.1125(c)(1), Cal. Rules of Court.)

S190827 D055209 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 VITERBI (AUDREY) v. WASSERMAN (GENEVA)

Petition for review denied

S190880 B213416 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 ALTWIJI (ABBOTT) v. ZDENEK (GENE W.)

Petition for review denied

S190915 G042193 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ (NOBERTO)

Petition for review denied

S190925 B218361 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ (JOSE LUIS)

S190944 E050233 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **T. (R.) ON H.C.**

Petition for review denied

S190946 B213415 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 NORTEL NETWORKS, INC.

v. STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

Petition for review denied

Corrigan, J., was recused and did not participate.

S190958 C061065 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. VIGIL (KYLE

JORDAN)

Petition for review denied

S190959 B219862 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **PEOPLE v. ALCHIAN**

(RAZMIK)

Petition for review denied

S190976 E050999 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 IN RE X.B.

Petition for review denied

S190987 D056290 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. CAMPOS (JESUS

SANTOYA)

Petition for review denied

S190995 A127375/A127376 First Appellate District, Div. 3 KULLAR (JATINDER) v.

FOOT LOCKER RETAIL,

INC./(ECHEVERRIA)
Petition for review denied

S191000 E052018 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 CALIFORNIA ENERGY

COMMISSION v. S.C. (WESTERN RIVERSIDE

COUNCIL OF

GOVERNMENTS)

B214824 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 S191025 **FOSSUM (SANDRA &** EDWARD), MARRIAGE OF Petition for review denied The request for judicial notice is denied. S191035 B218414 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. FINISTER (DASHAUN) Petitions for review denied A127687 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. VERRETT S191048 (BERNIE) Petition for review denied

S191068 B223306 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (GUILLERMO)

Petition for review denied

S191122 H032752 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. UCKELE (ANTHONY WINSTON)
Petition for review denied

S191127 B223642 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 GREGORY (TYLAN LIONEL)
ON H.C.
Petition for review denied

S191175 E052908 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **CRAWLEY (JON) ON H.C.** Petition for review denied

S191199 A123480 First Appellate District, Div. 5 WILSON & WILSON v. CITY COUNCIL OF REDWOOD CITY

S191200 C061102 Third Appellate District CALIFORNIA STATEWIDE

LAW ENFORCEMENT

ASSOCIATION v.

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT

OF PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION

Petition for review denied

S191219 D055896 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 SAFAIE (SHAHROKH

DOCTOR) v. JACUZZI WHIRLPOOL BATH, INC.

Petition for review denied

S191233 B210219 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. TALAVERA

(MARTIN RIOS)

Petition for review denied

Kennard, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S191263 F058176 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. BAUTISTA (LUIS

MANUEL)

Petition for review denied

S191275 A126061 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ

(MICHAEL A.)

Petition for review denied

S191282 B230831 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 CUNNINGHAM (SAM) ON

H.C.

Petition for review denied

S191293 B221431 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 STATE FARM INSURANCE v.

WORKERS'

COMPENSATION APPEALS

BOARD/(PEARSON)

S191342 B216789 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. RATNAWEERA (DANASIRI)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Brown*, S181963.

S191390 A126347 First Appellate District, Div. 5 GRIMSLEY (RICK W.) v.

MID-CENTURY INSURANCE

CO.

Petition for review denied

S191391 B231132 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 MASSAJI (SCHAFA) v. S.C.

(ROFEH)

Petition for review denied

S191394 F059448 Fifth Appellate District HUDSON (DARRELL) ON

H.C.

Petition for review denied

S191404 B220989 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. DIXON (FRED)

Petition for review denied

S191434 F058178 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. BAUTISTA

(GUSTAVO)

Petition for review denied

S191441 F061619 Fifth Appellate District COLEMAN (LAQUESHA) v.

BYRD (ARCHIE R.)

Petition for review denied

S191450 B224554 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 IN RE NATHANIEL N.

Petition for review denied

S191454 C067294 Third Appellate District JOHNSON (TIMOTHY D.) ON

H.C.

S191464 A126646 First Appellate District, Div. 3 RUEGG (PAUL) v. PALMER (JOHN)

Petition for review denied

S191484 B230869 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 TORO (ROBERT MORAN-DEL) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S191491 C065395 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. EXUM (COLIN LEE)

Petition for review denied

S191513 F061791 Fifth Appellate District DAO (THAO VAN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S191532 H035045 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. VALENZUELA (FRANCISCO)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Brown*, S181963. (See also Pen. Code, § 2900.5, subd. (a).)

S191555 G043285 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. BALBUENA (EDISON ALONZO)

Petition for review denied

S191589 B223310 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ

(ANTHONY)

Petition for review denied

S191603 A127458 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. CHATMAN (CHARLES)

S191605 F059188 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. RUIZ (RICARDO)

Petition for review denied

S191607 H032608/H033027 Sixth Appellate District LOUMENA (WYLMINA & TIMOTHY), MARRIAGE OF

Petition for review denied

S191608 G042404 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 WHERRY (KARENA) v. AWARD, INC.

Petition for review denied

The request for judicial notice is denied.

S191611 H035310 Sixth Appellate District IN RE N.N.

Petition for review denied

S191612 F058631 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. FAIR (ANTHONY

WAYNE)

Petition for review denied

S191615 G043268 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. OMONDI (GIDEON WALTER)

Petition for review denied

S191647 B223558 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. RUBIO (ALFONSO GARCIA)

Petition for review denied

S191650 B222434 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 WRIGHT (FABIOLA) v. CITY

OF LOS ANGELES/

(McNEILL)

S191653 G044761 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 FERNAN (MADELIA C.) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S191655 D055729 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. TRAN (HOANG MINH)

Petition for review denied

S191657 B220278 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. CONNELL (JASON JOHNATHON)
Petition for review denied

S191659 B224675 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. RINCON (EVERARDO GUTIERREZ)

Petition for review denied

S191678 D057722 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 IN RE P.A. Petition for review denied

S191688 B223139 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. ACOSTA (GERMAN)

Petition for review denied

S191700 D059173 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 WRIGHT (JUSTIN MARKEL)
ON H.C.
Petition for review denied

S191703 G042743 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. GANDARILLA (IVAN)

Petition for review denied

S191718 A125500 First Appellate District, Div. 5
Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. SANDERSON
(RICHARD LYLE)

S191720 G044025 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 IN RE ANTHONY C.

Petition for review denied

S191734 F059001 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. CHATMAN (MARK CHRISTOPHER)

Petition for review denied

S191738 G042598 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. TURNER

(RONALD)

Petition for review denied

S191740 C063708 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. GROZAV

(DANIEL)

Petition for review denied

S191871 E053149 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 McDONALD (TONY LEE) ON

H.C.

Petition for review denied

S192120 Del VALLE (ROBERT) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition & application for stay denied

S192323 H036713 Sixth Appellate District ZAMUDIO (OMAR) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S183680 SCHOPPE-RICO (JOHN

MOSES) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S184135 GRIFFITH (CHRISTOPHER

L.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S184843 GRANT (HILLEAL A.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S184857 PERDIGONE (ROXANNE

CORINNE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S184863 BAILEY (JACOB) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S185602 RODRIGUEZ (JAVIER) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S186236 PATTON (ANTHONY L.) ON

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

The petition for witt of habeas corpus is defined. (See in the Robbins (1996) to Car. 4th 176, 760.)

S186363 QUALLS (JONAS RAY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation Operations Manual, § 72040.10.1; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926; *In re Stoliker* (1957) 40 Cal.2d 75; see also *People v. Lopez* (1982) 130 Cal.App.3d 776, 785.)

S186808 NAZARIAN (VAHAN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187245 AYABAR (JUAN C.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S187247

TUCKER (GERALD L.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S187248

SOSA (ALFRED R.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S187258

CLOSNER (LESLIE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187263

NGUYEN (JOHN CUONG) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187267

DIAZ (JOHN R.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187334

DANIELS (ISIAH) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187367

ELLIS (RANDALL E.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S187376

TATE (JEFFREY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S187379 NEGRETE IV (SALVADOR

NICOLA) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187381 ORTIZ (JOSE GONZALEZ)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187383 BURTON (JERRY A.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S187384 AGUILAR (CHRISTIAN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S187422 RODRIGUEZ (SAUL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187434 WATSON (JAMES KENNETH) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187436 JOHNSON, SR., (JAM'BRI

SEAN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187437 DENIS (KEVIN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187438 MARTINEZ (JAIME) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187450

SANTOS (EDUARDO FLORES) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S187454

VEGA (FRANCISCO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187455

GROBMAN (JON TREVOR)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S187456

BARNARD (BUDDY J.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187459

TRAN (THAO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187461

COMMINEY (WALTER) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S187489

CARRILLO (PEDRO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187491

SANDOVAL (ALEX) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S187497

BAKER (ARTHUR) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S187514

ZAIZA (JOSE ROBERTO) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S187613

FLORES (JAVIER) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187623

CLARK (TERRY A.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187646

TRUJILLO (TONY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187648

RAMIREZ (MARCO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187667

DUBRIN (BRIAN CHARLES)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S187678

POLK (MICHAEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187715

RODRIGUEZ (ADRIAN) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S187716

GASCON (SKILER ELI) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S187719 SCHOPPE-RICO (JOHN MOSES) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187725 HARPER (RICHARD) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187732 DONEL (CRESPIN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

S187737 GAXIOLA (RICARDO) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187745 CASEY (JURRAY WILLIE)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Waltreus (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225.)

S187747 MORRISON (CURTIS LEE)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S187749 PARRISON (DENNIS JAMES)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709.)

S187751 NORSWORTHY (JEFFREY BRYAN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S187795

McCOY (LAMOND) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S187798

DIMACALI (PHILLIP) ON

H.C

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

S188689

BROWN (NERRAH) ON H.C.

The application for stay and petition for writ of habeas corpus are denied.

S188925

FLORES (JAVIER) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S189598

MORRISON (CURTIS LEE)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S190832

PELFREY (ANTHONY) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S191694

COSTELLO (DAVID) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S191746

RUIZ (CAESAR CASTRO) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S191963

LANGILL (DOUGLAS B.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192025 NOGUERAS (EUGENIO LUIS) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S190596 ACCUSATION OF WONG

Order: (dispositive)

The court directs the State Bar Office of Chief Trial Counsel to reopen and further investigate complainant LELAND WONG'S October 25, 2010, conflict of interest complaint against an attorney (State Bar Inquiry Number 10-25399).

S189948 B225943 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 TWINN (DAVID ALLEN) ON

H.C.

Depublication request denied (case closed)

S190383 F058735 Fifth Appellate District FISHER (JOHN G.) v. SIERRA SUMMIT, INC.

Publication requests denied (case closed)

The requests for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal are denied.

S190550 H035135 Sixth Appellate District SUNNYVALE WEST

NEIGHBORHOOD

ASSOCIATION v. CITY OF

SUNNYVALE CITY

COUNCIL

Depublication requests denied (case closed)

S190892 A126642 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. SENECA

INSURANCE COMPANY

Publication request denied (case closed)

S191616 B218173 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PANNU (SUKHSAGAR) v.

LAND ROVER NORTH

AMERICA, INC.

Depublication request denied (case closed)

The requests for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal are denied.

S190305 B217972 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. GARCIA (JOHNNY SANTOS)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 31, 2011.

S190495 A127746 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. BREWER (KEVIN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 2, 2011.

S190569 B219799 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. SOTELO (JAVIER)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 27, 2011.

S190609 B220552 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. BROWN, JR., (DARRELL ALLAN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 8, 2011.

S190619 B218790 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. MYRIE (STOLLMEYER)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 27, 2011.

S190732 A126658 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. KLAUT (KEVIN PICH)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 9, 2011.

S190868 B221432/B220489 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. LINARES (JUAN MANUEL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 9, 2011.

S190947 E047717 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. JACQUES (ANDRE JERIEL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 31, 2011.

S190952 C062674 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. VECHIK (DONALD BRUCE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 27, 2011.

S191015 A126092 First Appellate District, Div. 5 **PEOPLE v. DAVIS (JESSE A.)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 27, 2011.

S191017 B211209/B219262 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. CASTILLO** (MARTIN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 27, 2011.

S191018 H035262 Sixth Appellate District IN RE J.P.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 27, 2011.

S191077 B214441 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. HERRERA (DAVID VELOZ)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 2, 2011.

S191078 G042192 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. SOLORIO (ADRIAN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 1, 2011.

S191086 E048064 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. FREDERICK (RYAN DOUGLAS)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 1,

2011.

S191121 B219262/B211209 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 CASTILLO (MARTIN) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 2, 2011.

S191151 B210532 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. MOSCOE (MATTHEW RYUN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 7, 2011.

S191154 B222038 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (ESTEBAN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 3, 2011.

S191167 B221106 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. VASQUEZ (EMMANUEL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 3, 2011.

S191221 B218955 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. MALDONADO (CHRISTOPHER ALAN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 6, 2011.

S191250 C063103 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. HILL (CERON)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 6, 2011.

S191252 B231060 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 SKOGEN (NATHAN E.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 7, 2011.

S191254 C061862 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ROBINSON (JULIUS MONTEREY)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 9, 2011.

S191271 B213832/B214089 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. ESCAMILLA (WALTER)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 7, 2011.

S191274 D056087 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (RAPHAEL MAURICE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 7, 2011.

S191277 C060808 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. EGBERT (CLINTON RAY)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 7, 2011.

S191283 H033740 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. JOSEPH (MOSES S.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 7, 2011.

S191312 D055965 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. KEEPER (MICHAEL DENNIS)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 8, 2011.

S191341 F059287 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. SANDERS (MAURICE D.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 9, 2011.

S191351 D056977 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. CARBONELL (ORLANDO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 9, 2011.

S062259

PEOPLE v. SCULLY (ROBERT WALTER)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Margo Garey's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by September 30, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 29, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S105857 PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (LUMORD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Ronald A. Jakob's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by May 13, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 13, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S105908 PEOPLE v. GHOBRIAL (JOHN SAMUEL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Denise Anton's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by May 27, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 27, 2011. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S106274 PEOPLE v. CARO (SOCORRO SUSAN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Tracy J. Dressner's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by May 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 17, 2011. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 330 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the

anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S113962

PEOPLE v. PARKER (CALVIN LAMONT)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 27, 2011.

S116307

PEOPLE v. FLORES III (ALFRED)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Robert Derham's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by September 20, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 24, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S122611

PEOPLE v. STESKAL (MAURICE GERALD)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 24, 2011.

S126214

PEOPLE v. CRUMMEL (JAMES LEE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel William Hassler's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by September 30, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 24, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 98 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S127621

PEOPLE v. ERSKINE (SCOTT THOMAS)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file

appellant's opening brief is extended to June 27, 2011.

S133660

PEOPLE v. AMEZCUA (OSWALDO) & FLORES (JOSEPH)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Oswaldo Amezcua and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 27, 2011.

S175275

ABEL (JOHN CLYDE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Michael R. Belter's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by July 5, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 5, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S189317 B215387 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

PEOPLE v. FAVOR (BRANDON ALEXANDER)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to May 31, 2011.

S191903

B224823 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

BOTEACH (JUDITH) v. BOTACH (YOAV)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to April 29, 2011.

S064415

PEOPLE v. BRAMIT (MICHAEL LAMAR)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of condemned inmate Michael Lamar Bramit for appointment of counsel, Mary T. McKelvey is hereby appointed to represent condemned inmate Michael Lamar Bramit for habeas corpus/executive clemency proceedings related to the above automatic appeal now final in this court.

Any "petition for a writ of habeas corpus will be presumed to be filed without substantial delay if it is filed . . . within 36 months" of this date (Supreme Ct. Policies Regarding Cases Arising From

Judgments of Death, policy 3, timeliness std. 1-1.1), and it will be presumed that any successive petition filed within that period is justified or excused (see *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 774-782), in light of this court's delay in appointing habeas corpus/executive clemency counsel on behalf of condemned inmate Michael Lamar Bramit.

S189462 F058249 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. SHOCKLEY (THOMAS RAYMOND)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Gregory W. Brown is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

S080056

PEOPLE v. BELL (MICHAEL LEON)

Record augmentation granted in part/denied in part

Michael Leon Bell's "Confidential Application for Augmentation of the Record on Appeal to Include Missing Documents Filed Pursuant to Penal Code Section 987.9," lodged conditionally under seal on April 8, 2011, is granted in part and denied in part as follows. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.155(a)(1)(A).)

The Clerk is directed to separate from appellant's application the two-page document captioned "Request for New Hearing on Defendant's Application for Funding for a Jury Consultant," dated June 2, 1998, and the two-page document captioned "Declaration/Request for Clarification of Order and/or Request for In Camera Hearing," dated October 28, 1998. With respect to these two documents, appellant's request is granted. The record on appeal is augmented to include these two documents, and the Clerk is directed to maintain them under seal.

The one-page document captioned "Indigent Defense - Request for Funds Order Form," dated November 9, 1998, is already contained in the record on appeal. (Sealed II Supp.

Declaration/Request for Funds and Order 987.9 Transcript 258.) With respect to this document, appellant's request therefore is denied. The Clerk is directed to separate it from appellant's application and return it to him.

The Clerk is further directed to separate from appellant's application the reporter's transcript (captioned "987.9 motion," and paginated from page 1 to page 30) for the hearing that was held on July 23, 1998, and the reporter's transcript (captioned "In Camera Hearing re: Declaration and Request for Funds," paginated from page 91 to page 119, and including an unpaginated reporter's certification) for the hearing that was held on December 29, 1998. With respect to these two transcripts, appellant's request is granted. The record on appeal is augmented to include them, and the Clerk is directed to maintain them under seal.

After these documents have been separated from appellant's application, the Clerk is directed to unseal and file the application, which was lodged conditionally under seal on April 8, 2011. The Clerk is directed to provide appellant a copy of the sealed reporter's transcript for the hearing

held on June 18, 1999. (Sealed XIX RT 3875-3877.) Appellant's counsel must not release or cause to be released any of the confidential or sealed materials, or the information contained therein. If counsel wishes to disclose the contents of sealed materials in any pleading, those pleadings must be filed under seal, for which permission must be sought under California Rules of Court, rule 8.46.

S094890

PEOPLE v. MANIBUSAN (JOSEPH KEKOA)

Order filed

Good cause appearing, appellant's request for relief from default to file appellant's opening brief is granted.

S140894

PEOPLE v. MIRACLE (JOSHUA MARTIN)

Motion denied

Appellant's "Motion to Preserve Record and Evidence Related to Automatic Appeal," filed on January 6, 2011, is denied.

S159830 S107057 GALAZ ON DISCIPLINE GALAZ ON RESIGNATION

Order filed

The motion to vacate this court's orders of June 27, 2007, entered in case number S107057, and March 18, 2008, entered in case number S159830, is granted. The State Bar may retain on its website information regarding the conviction of RAUL GALAZ.

S190321 ACCUSATION OF

KIMBERLIN

Petition denied (accusation)

S190386 ACCUSATION OF MARTIN

Petition denied (accusation)

S190496 ACCUSATION OF CARRIE

Petition denied (accusation)

S191007 ACCUSATION OF HARRIS

Petition denied (accusation)

S191493 ACCUSATION OF JOHNSON

Petition denied (accusation)

S191567 ACCUSATION OF

GUIJARRO

Petition denied (accusation)

S191739 ACCUSATION OF

CROCKETT

Petition denied (accusation)

S191981 ACCUSATION OF

WHITTIER

Petition denied (accusation)

S190652 LINEHAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ANDREW FRANCIS LINEHAN, State Bar Number 194350, is suspended from the practice of law in California for 18 months, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ANDREW FRANCIS LINEHAN must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 20, 2010; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ANDREW FRANCIS LINEHAN has complied with the terms of probation, the 18-month period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ANDREW FRANCIS LINEHAN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012 and 2013. If ANDREW FRANCIS LINEHAN fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and

payable immediately.

S190659

HARPER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that RICHARD CHARLES HARPER, State Bar Number 99758, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. RICHARD CHARLES HARPER must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on December 7, 2010; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if RICHARD CHARLES HARPER has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

RICHARD CHARLES HARPER must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S190664

GONZALEZ ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MANUEL ANGEL GONZALEZ, State Bar Number 219130, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. MANUEL ANGEL GONZALEZ is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. MANUEL ANGEL GONZALEZ must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 21, 2010; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MANUEL ANGEL GONZALEZ has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

MANUEL ANGEL GONZALEZ must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

MANUEL ANGEL GONZALEZ must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40

calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. If MANUEL ANGEL GONZALEZ fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S190672

FOX ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that DAVID G. FOX, State Bar Number 61158, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

DAVID G. FOX must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S190676

FERNANDEZ ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DAMIAN RENE FERNANDEZ, State Bar Number 206662, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. DAMIAN RENE FERNANDEZ must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on December 20, 2010; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DAMIAN RENE FERNANDEZ has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DAMIAN RENE FERNANDEZ must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S190680

CHANDLER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that GREGORY CHANDLER, State Bar Number 158260, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

GREGORY CHANDLER must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S190681

CAPPS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JOE MELVILLE CAPPS, State Bar Number 210998, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. JOE MELVILLE CAPPS must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S190729

MENDOZA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that RICARDO LOPEZ MENDOZA, State Bar Number 129356, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. RICARDO LOPEZ MENDOZA must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S190806

WEITZMAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that LAWRENCE EDWARD WEITZMAN, State Bar Number 43778, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

LAWRENCE EDWARD WEITZMAN must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40

calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S190811

RUPP ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that RANDALL KEMP RUPP, State Bar Number 165774, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. RANDALL KEMP RUPP is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of one year, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He makes restitution to Elizabeth Lee in the amount of \$3,460 plus 10 percent interest per annum from September 7, 2007 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund to the extent of any payment from the fund to Elizabeth Lee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
 - ii. The State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar; and
 - iii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. RANDALL KEMP RUPP must comply with the conditions of probation, if any, imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his suspension.

RANDALL KEMP RUPP must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S190812

SUOJANEN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that WAYNE WILLIAM SUOJANEN, State Bar Number 193627, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. WAYNE WILLIAM SUOJANEN is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;

- 2. WAYNE WILLIAM SUOJANEN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on December 22, 2010; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if WAYNE WILLIAM SUOJANEN has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

WAYNE WILLIAM SUOJANEN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S190814

TRENK ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOSEPH TRENK, State Bar Number 101459, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JOSEPH TRENK is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. JOSEPH TRENK must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on December 20, 2010; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JOSEPH TRENK has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JOSEPH TRENK must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

JOSEPH TRENK must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S190815

YOUNG ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that LAWRENCE R. YOUNG, State Bar Number 38323, is suspended from the

practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. LAWRENCE R. YOUNG is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
- 2. LAWRENCE R. YOUNG must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 21, 2010; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if LAWRENCE R. YOUNG has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

LAWRENCE R. YOUNG must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. If LAWRENCE R. YOUNG fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S179200 ANZ ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of NABILE JOHN ANZ, State Bar Number 183324, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted. If NABILE JOHN ANZ subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against him.

NABILE JOHN ANZ must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may be considered in any future reinstatement proceeding.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.